D. Conn. 13-cv-1799 Eginton, J. Garfinkel, M.J.

## United States Court of Appeals

FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of May, two thousand seventeen.

$\mathbf{r}$				
$\mathbf{\nu}$	re	c	nt	•
	$\mathbf{I} \mathbf{C}$	$\mathbf{r}$	111	

Amalya L. Kearse, Debra Ann Livingston, Raymond J. Lohier, Jr., *Circuit Judges*.

Betty Harkey, individually and on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

Glen Grayson, et al., individually and on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs-Respondents,

v.

17-798

General Electric Company,

Petitioner.

Petitioner moves, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(f), for leave to appeal the district court's order granting Respondents' motion for class certification. It also moves for Respondents' opposition brief to be filed under seal, and for leave to file replies in support of its motions. Two nonparties move to file amicus curiae briefs.

Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the motions to file replies and amicus curiae briefs are GRANTED, but the motion to seal Respondents' opposition brief is DENIED. It is further ORDERED that the Rule 23(f) petition is DENIED because an immediate appeal is unwarranted. *See Sumitomo Copper Litig. v. Credit Lyonnais Rouse, Ltd.*, 262 F.3d 134, 139-40 (2d Cir. 2001).

FOR THE COURT: Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe, Clerk

